

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

CASE NO. 23-80101(s)-CR-CANNON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP,

WALTINE NAUTA, and CARLOS DE OLIVEIRA.

Defendants.

UNDER SEAL	FILED BY PCS D.	C.
	SEP 0 1 2023	The state of the s
	ANGELA E. NOBLE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA. – W.P.B.	

GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT NAUTA'S MOTION TO REFERENCE DISCOVERY

Pursuant to the Court's directive, counsel for Defendant Nauta served on the parties

Nauta's Motion to Reference Discovery, which was initially filed *ex parte* and remains under seal. In the motion, Nauta seeks to reference and quote from a portion of Per. 54 grand jury testimony and reference Per. 54 cooperation agreement with the government. Both items were produced in discovery and are subject to the Protective Order.

The contents of Per. 54 grand jury testimony and P. 54 cooperation agreement are not relevant to the issues currently before the Court: whether the Court should hold a *Garcia* hearing and whether the government properly used the D.C. grand jury. Nevertheless, now that the Government has received Nauta's Motion to Reference Discovery and accordingly has additional information about how Nauta apparently seeks to use the testimony in his sur-reply¹, the

¹ The Certificate of Conferral attached to Nauta's Motion does not accurately reflect the position of the Government as conveyed to counsel and therefore the Government attaches its email exchange with counsel as Exhibit 1.

Government does not object to their use provided that any portion of the transcript or cooperation agreement submitted to the Court is filed under seal and any quotations from or references to those materials are redacted in the publicly filed version of the sur-reply. Public disclosure of those materials in advance of trial could influence the jury pool or affect the testimony of others. The Government also requests that, if Nauta includes Exhibit A to his Motion in the sur-reply, counsel redact Government counsel's email addresses and contact information.

Respectfully submitted,

JACK SMITH Special Counsel

By: /s/ Jay I. Bratt

Jay I. Bratt Counselor to the Special Counsel Special Bar ID #A5502946 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530

Julie A. Edelstein Senior Assistant Special Counsel Special Bar ID #A5502949

David V. Harbach, II Assistant Special Counsel Special Bar ID #A5503068

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jay I. Bratt,	certify that on	September	1, 2023,	I served t	the foregoing	document	on all
parties via electronic n	nail.						

/s/ Jay I. Bratt	
Jay I. Bratt	

EXHIBIT 1

Case 9:23-cr-80101-AMC Document 583-1 E6teAddE01* FLEStDeDe0t keet 1955218/2024 et 1994/91520125 Page 2 of 2

From:		Stanley Woodward	
Sent:		Monday, August 28, 2023 6:09 PM	
To:		DVH (JSPT)	_
Cc:		Sasha Dadan; Todd Blanche; John Irving; Stephen Weiss	
Subject	:	[EXTERNAL] Re: Transcript use	
David -	understood and thanks m	uch for the prompt response.	
Stanley			
Sent fro	om my iPhone		
	Woodward randwoodwardlaw.com		
	On Aug 28, 2023, at 6:06	PM, wrote:	
	Dear Stanley,		
	grand jury transcript in your Protective Order. We object the exhibits in any public filing our position that any portion is a second to the exhibits in any portion that are provided that are provided that any portion that are provided that are pro	rand-confer call you would not tell us how you wanted to use the Per. 54 pur sur-reply, we cannot state a position whether to authorize its use under the ject to your disclosure of Per. 54 grand jury testimony or associated g or in open court. Accordingly, if you are granted leave to use them at all, it is tions of the transcript or exhibits that you file should be filed under seal, and ices to the same in your sur-reply should be redacted in any public-facing	
	If you have any questions	at all, please let me know.	
	Kind regards, David		
	David Harbach Assistant Special Counsel		